



Oldbury to Meriden, Warwickshire

Ground Level Tree Assessment Report

Prepared For: Severn Trent Water

Document Reference: 10408.04.001

February 2025

Version 1.0

TEP
401 Faraday Street
Birchwood Park
Warrington
WA3 6GA
Tel: 01925 844004
Email: tep@tep.uk.com
Offices in Warrington, Market Harborough, Gateshead, London and Cornwall

Document Title	Ground Level Tree Assessment Report
Document Ref	10408.04.001
Version	Version 1.0
Prepared For	Severn Trent Water

Author	OP
Date	February 2025
Checked	DM
Approved	HG

Amendment History					
Version	Date	Modified by	Check/ Approved by	Reason	Status

The Environment Partnership Ltd is a private limited company registered in England. Registered number 7745159. Registered office: 401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA.

© Copyright 2025 The Environment Partnership Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

This document has been prepared by The Environment Partnership Ltd (“TEP”) for sole use of our Client in accordance with the provisions of the contract between TEP and the Client. No third party may use or rely upon this document or its content. TEP accepts no liability or responsibility for any such use or reliance thereon by any third party.



TEP is a Registered Practice of the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management. The content of this document has been prepared in accordance with the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) Code of Professional Conduct and is compliant with British Standard BS42020:2013 Biodiversity Code of Practice for Planning and Development.

The conclusions and recommendations contained in this document are based upon information gathered by TEP and provided by third parties. Information provided by third

parties and referred to herein has not been independently verified by TEP, unless otherwise expressly stated in the document.

Nothing in this report constitutes legal opinion. If legal opinion is required, the advice of a qualified legal professional should be secured.

Contents

1.0	Introduction	5
2.0	Legislation	6
3.0	Methods	8
4.0	Results	10
5.0	Conclusions	15

Tables

Table 1: Natural England mitigation licences within 5 km of the site.	10
--	----

Drawings

Drawing 1: G10408.022 Ground Level Tree Assessment Results

Appendices

Appendix A: Methodologies

Appendix B: GLTA Survey Results

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 The Environment Partnership (TEP) was commissioned by Severn Trent Water (STW) to undertake a Ground Level Tree Assessment (GLTA) to inform design of the proposed Oldbury to Meriden pipeline route, Warwickshire (hereafter referred to as the 'site'). This report relates to the route as a whole, located between approximate grid references SP 31477 94546 and SP 25888 82025.
- 1.2 The proposal entails the construction of a new water pipeline between STW compounds located in Oldbury and Meriden, Warwickshire.
- 1.3 Detailed proposals are not currently available, however the likely working area has been provided by STW. This is shown on drawing G10408.022.

2.0 Legislation

- 2.1 All bat species and their roosts are legally protected in the UK. All bats are listed as European Protected Species (EPS) in the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora, better known as the Habitats Directive¹. Annex II of the Habitats Directive lists bat species of community interest requiring designation of Special Areas of Conservation (SACs). Annex IV of the Habitats Directive lists all bats as species of community interest in need of strict protection.
- 2.2 The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017² and the Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019³ (England and Wales), The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended)⁴ (Scotland) and The Conservation (Natural Habitats, etc.) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1995 (as amended)⁵, transpose elements of the Habitats Directive into national law and are referred to as the 'Habitats Regulations'. There is also protection for bats and roosts in England and Wales under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 (as amended)⁶. Under UK legislation it is a criminal offence if any persons:
- Intentionally kill, injure, or take any bat;
 - Intentionally or recklessly damage, destroy or obstruct any place used for shelter or protection by a bat (even if bats are not occupying the roost at the time);
 - Intentionally or recklessly disturb a bat whilst it occupies a place used for shelter or protection; and
 - Possess or advertise/sell/exchange a bat (dead or alive) or any part of a bat.
- 2.3 Section 41 (S41) of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 (NERC) (England)⁷, Section 7 (S7) of the Environment (Wales) Act 2016⁸, Section

¹ Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora. Eur-Lex. Available at: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A01992L0043-20130701>

² Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (SI 2017/1012). Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2017/1012/>

³ Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2019 (SI 2019/579). Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2019/579/>

⁴ The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended) No. 2716. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/1994/2716/contents/made>

⁵ The Conservation (Natural Habitats, etc.) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1995 (as amended) No. 380. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/nisr/1995/380/contents/made>

⁶ Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) c. 69. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1981/69/>

⁷ Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 c. 16. UK Public General Acts. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/16/>

⁸ Environment (Wales) Act 2016 (anaw 3) Part 1 Section 7. Acts of the National Assembly for Wales. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/anaw/2016/3/section/7>

2(4) (S2) of the Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act 2004⁹ and Section 3(1) (S3) of the Wildlife and Natural Environment Act (Northern Ireland) 2011¹⁰ also identify bat species regarded by Statutory Nature Conservation Organisations (SNCOs) as being of 'principal importance' for the purposes of conserving biodiversity. Species of principal importance (SPI) are drawn from earlier lists of United Kingdom Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) Priority Species and Habitats.

- 2.4 Local authorities also identify bat species of importance within Local Biodiversity Action Plans (LBAP) for the purposes of conserving biodiversity.

⁹ Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act 2004 asp 6 Part 1 Section 2. Acts of the Scottish Parliament. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/asp/2004/6/section/2>

¹⁰ Wildlife and Natural Environment Act (Northern Ireland) 2011, C. 15. Acts of the Northern Ireland Assembly: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/nia/2011/15/contents>

3.0 Methods

- 3.1 The following bat surveys were undertaken in accordance with the Bat Conservation Trust (BCT) Good Practice Guidelines (Collins, 2023)¹¹. Detailed data collation, field survey and data analysis and evaluation methodologies are provided at Appendix A.

Ecological Desk Study

- 3.2 A desk study exercise was completed in 2024 by TEP, using information obtained from the Multi Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) database and Warwickshire Biological Records Centre (WBRC).
- 3.3 Data extracted in relation to bats included the following:
- Designated statutory and non-statutory wildlife sites specifically designated for bats (within 5km).
 - Protected species licences granted by Natural England for bats within 5km.
 - Bat records within 1km of the pipeline route provided by Warwickshire Biological Records Centre (WBRC).

Data Constraints

- 3.4 An absence of records does not indicate the absence of protected species from the search area. Furthermore, the species investigated are mobile and will move into and out of areas over time. For these reasons a precautionary approach has been taken in the review of desk study data.
- 3.5 Where there is any doubt, except where specifically noted, species are assumed to be present, and the impact assessment assumes a higher level of significance (within the spectrum of possible significance).

Roost Surveys

Preliminary Roost Assessment - Trees

- 3.6 A Ground Level Tree Assessment (GLTA) was carried out in December 2024 by suitably qualified TEP ecologists. Trees within and immediately adjacent to the working area were subject to survey.

¹¹ Collins, J. (ed.) (2023) Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists Good Practice Guidelines (4th edition). The Bat Conservation Trust, London. ISBN-978-1-7395126-0-6

- 3.7 Following the GLTA, trees were categorised with reference to their bat roost habitat suitability (PRF-M, PRF-I or None) as determined by their characteristics and potential roost features (PRFs).

Survey Constraints

- 3.8 There were no constraints to the GLTA surveys.

4.0 Results

Ecological Desk Study

4.1 The Ecological Desk Study identified records of the following bat species within 1km of the site:

- Common pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pipistrellus*)
- Noctule (*Nyctalus noctula*)
- Brown long-eared bat (*Plecotus auritus*)
- Unidentified pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus* species)

4.2 The Multi-Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) data identified 20 locations where Natural England EPS Mitigation Licences for bat roosts have been in place within 5 km of the site. These are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Natural England mitigation licences within 5 km of the site.

Species on Licence	Licence Reference	Dates Valid	Licensable Activities	Distance and Direction from Site
Common pipistrelle and brown long-eared bat	2015-9801-EPS-MIT	27/04/2015 to 30/04/2020	Destruction of a resting place.	0.4km south-east of the site.
Common pipistrelle and brown long-eared bat	2015-9801-EPS-MIT-1	27/04/2015 to 30/04/2020	Destruction of a resting place.	0.4km south-east of the site.
Common pipistrelle and brown long-eared bat	2015-9801-EPS-MIT-2	09/11/2015 to 30/04/2021	Destruction of a resting place.	0.4km south-east of the site.
Common pipistrelle, soprano pipistrelle, brown long-eared bat and Natterer's bat	EPSM2013-6693	27/11/2013 to 30/09/2019	Impact on a breeding site. Destruction of a breeding site. Destruction of a resting place.	1.2km south-east of the site.

Species on Licence	Licence Reference	Dates Valid	Licensable Activities	Distance and Direction from Site
Common pipistrelle, soprano pipistrelle, brown long-eared bat and Natterer's bat	2014-2266-EPS-MIT	19/08/2014 to 30/09/2019	Impact on a breeding site. Destruction of a breeding site. Destruction of a resting place.	1.2km south-east of the site.
Common pipistrelle, soprano pipistrelle, brown long-eared bat and Natterer's bat	2014-2266-EPS-MIT-1	28/08/2015 to 30/09/2025	Impact on a breeding site. Destruction of a breeding site.	1.2km south-east of the site.
Brown long-eared bat	2015-15590-EPS-MIT-2	07/06/2017 to 21/10/2020	Destruction of a resting place.	1.2km east of the site.
Brown long-eared bat	2015-15590-EPS-MIT	26/10/2015 to 21/10/2020	Damage of a resting place. Destruction of a resting place.	1.2km east of the site.
Brown long-eared bat	2015-15590-EPS-MIT-1	10/02/2016 to 21/10/2020	Damage of a resting place. Destruction of a resting place.	1.2km east of the site.
Common pipistrelle and brown long-eared bat	EPSM2013-6272	08/10/2013 to 31/08/2014	Destruction of a resting place.	1.2km west of the site.
Common pipistrelle and brown long-eared bat	EPSM2011-3536	13/10/2011 to 30/09/2013	Destruction of a resting place.	1.3km north-west of the site.
Common pipistrelle	EPSM2009-638	19/03/2009 to 31/12/2010	Impact on a breeding site.	1.9km south-east of the site.

Species on Licence	Licence Reference	Dates Valid	Licensable Activities	Distance and Direction from Site
			Destruction of a breeding site. Destruction of a resting place.	
Common pipistrelle	EPSM2012-4049	02/03/2012 to 01/06/2015	Destruction of a resting place.	2.8km south-east of the site.
Common pipistrelle and Natterer's bat <i>Myotis nattereri</i>	2019-39729-EPS-MIT	09/04/2019 to 01/11/2024	Impact on a breeding site. Destruction of a breeding site. Destruction of a resting place.	3km north-west of the site.
Common pipistrelle and brown long-eared bat	2015-8180-EPS-MIT	20/03/2015 to 17/03/2020	Destruction of a breeding site. Destruction of a resting place.	3.6km north-east of the site.
Common pipistrelle, soprano pipistrelle <i>Pipistrellus pygmaeus</i> and brown long-eared bat	2020-49969-EPS-MIT	15/12/2020 to 31/03/2031	Impact on a breeding site. Destruction of a breeding site. Destruction of a resting place.	4.2km north-west of the site.
Natterer's bat	2019-43355-EPS-NSIP1-1	06/04/2020 to 31/12/2030	N/A	4.2km south-west of the site.

Species on Licence	Licence Reference	Dates Valid	Licensable Activities	Distance and Direction from Site
Common pipistrelle and soprano pipistrelle	2020-49802-EPS-NSIP1	16/10/2020 to 31/12/2025	Destruction of a resting place.	4.3km south-west of the site.
Common pipistrelle and soprano pipistrelle	2020-49802-EPS-NSIP1-1	03/11/2020 to 31/12/2025	Impact on a breeding site. Damage of a breeding site. Destruction of a resting place.	4.3km south-west of the site.
Brown long-eared bat	EPSM2009-523	19/02/2009 to 31/03/2009	Impact on a breeding site. Destruction of a breeding site. Destruction of a resting place.	4.8km south-west of the site.

4.3 Designated sites identified for their bat populations located within 5 km of the site include:

- Hoar Park Wood SSSI, located 4km west of the site - A large roost of Daubenton's bat *Myotis daubentonii* was found in a tree near the centre of the wood.

Roost Surveys

Preliminary Roost Assessment - Trees

4.4 103 trees and six tree groups were identified as supporting PRF-M suitability for roosting bats and 101 trees and eight tree groups were identified as supporting PRF-I suitability for roosting bats.

4.5 The detailed findings and a photographic record of the GLTA are presented in Appendix B.

- 4.6 Drawing G10408.022 illustrates the locations and potential bat roost habitat suitability categorisation of trees. Only trees with potential roosting suitability are shown.

Winter Hibernation Potential


- 4.7 At this stage specific winter hibernation surveys have not been undertaken. Employing a precautionary approach, it is therefore assumed that all PRF-M trees could also offer hibernation potential subject to further proposed survey work as required.

5.0 Conclusions

- 5.1 Where possible, trees with bat roost suitability should be retained and left unaffected within the proposals. Detailed discussions between an ecologist, an arboriculturist and the STW design team on route design will be required to ensure as many trees as possible are avoided and protected. Where avoidance of trees is not possible, further survey and/or mitigation will be required.
- 5.2 Any trees with PRF-M suitability will require further survey prior to removal or works on the tree commencing. This will entail three visits between the bat active season of May to September, with visits spread at least three weeks apart and at least two of the visits between May and August. Surveys will entail either aerial inspections using ropes and/or ladders, or if these are not possible (for example due to health and safety reasons), nocturnal emergence surveys using night vision aids. Information on further survey requirements, should the trees be impacted, is provided in Appendix B.
- 5.3 If during any of the surveys roosting bats are recorded within a tree(s), a Natural England bat licence will be required to be obtained prior to removal of or works on the tree(s). Mitigation requirements will depend upon the species and type of roost present.
- 5.4 Aerial inspection surveys will also assess bat hibernation roosting potential and whether further survey work is required or whether hibernation is assumed and mitigated for accordingly.
- 5.5 Any trees with PRF-I suitability will not require further survey prior to removal or works on the tree commencing but will require removal/works under supervision of a bat-licensed ecologist. Should the trees be required to be removed, these will require an initial inspection, before soft felling under bat-licensed supervision.
- 5.6 If during removal of PRF-I trees bats are recorded roosting, removal of the tree will need to cease, and Natural England contacted as to how to proceed. A Natural England bat licence will likely be required to be obtained prior to works commencing.

Drawings


Drawing 1: G10408.022 Ground Level Tree Assessment Results



KEY

- Working area/survey area
- Page and page number

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date

THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP

401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results

Drawing Number G10408.022.1	Page 1 of 14
---------------------------------------	--------------


Drawn	Checked	Approved	Scale	Date
ET	CW	DM	1:55,000 @ A3	04/02/2025



KEY

- Working area/survey area
- PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
- PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats
- PRF-I: Tree group with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
- PRF-M: Tree group with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date



THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP
401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results


Drawing Number G10408.022.2				Page 2 of 14
Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:5,000 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025



KEY

- Working area/survey area
- PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
- PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats
- PRF-M: Tree group with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date



THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP
401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results


Drawing Number G10408.022.3				Page 3 of 14
Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:5,000 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025



KEY

- Working area/survey area
- Tree Assessment for Bat Roost Potential**
- PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
 - PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats
 - PRF-M: Tree group with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date



THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP

401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results

Drawing Number G10408.022.4				Page 4 of 14
Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:5,000 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025




KEY

- Working area/survey area
- PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
- PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO.
Maxar, Microsoft, Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2025.
All rights reserved.
Contains data from OS Zoomstack.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date

TEP | **THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**
401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results

Drawing Number G10408.022.5				Page 5 of 14
Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:5,000 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025




KEY

- Working area/survey area
- Tree Assessment for Bat Roost Potential**
- PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
 - PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.

 Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO.
Maxar, Microsoft, Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2025.
All rights reserved.
Contains data from OS Zoomstack.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date



**THE
ENVIRONMENT
PARTNERSHIP**

401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.[tep.uk.com](http://www.tep.uk.com)

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results

Drawing Number G10408.022.6	Page 6 of 14
--------------------------------	--------------

Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:5,000 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025
-------------	---------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------------




KEY

- Working area/survey area
- Tree Assessment for Bat Roost Potential**
- PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
 - PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.

 Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO.
Maxar, Microsoft, Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2025.
All rights reserved.
Contains data from OS Zoomstack.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date


TEP | **THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**

401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results

Drawing Number G10408.022.7				Page 7 of 14
Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:2,500 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025




KEY

- Working area/survey area
- PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
- PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats
- PRF-I: Tree group with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO.
Maxar, Microsoft, Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2025.
All rights reserved.
Contains data from OS Zoomstack.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date

THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP
401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results

Drawing Number G10408.022.8				Page 8 of 14
Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:3,500 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025




KEY

- Working area/survey area
- Tree Assessment for Bat Roost Potential**
 - PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
 - PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.

 Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO.
Maxar, Microsoft, Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2025.
All rights reserved.
Contains data from OS Zoomstack.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date



**THE
ENVIRONMENT
PARTNERSHIP**

401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results

Drawing Number G10408.022.9				Page 9 of 14
Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:5,000 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025




KEY

- Working area/survey area
- PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
- PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats
- PRF-I: Tree group with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO.
Maxar, Microsoft, Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2025.
All rights reserved.
Contains data from OS Zoomstack.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date

TEP | **THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**
401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results

Drawing Number G10408.022.10				Page 10 of 14
Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:5,000 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025




KEY

- Working area/survey area
- PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
- PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats
- PRF-I: Tree group with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO.
Maxar, Microsoft, Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2025.
All rights reserved.
Contains data from OS Zoomstack.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date

TEP | **THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP**
401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results


Drawing Number G10408.022.11				Page 11 of 14
Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:5,000 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025



KEY

- Working area/survey area
- Tree Assessment for Bat Roost Potential**
- PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
 - PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats
 - PRF-I: Tree group with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date

TEP

THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP

401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results

Drawing Number G10408.022.12				Page 12 of 14
Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:5,000 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025




KEY

- Working area/survey area
- PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
- PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats
- PRF-I: Tree group with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.

 Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO.
Maxar, Microsoft, Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2025.
All rights reserved.
Contains data from OS Zoomstack.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date



THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP
401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.[tep.uk.com](http://www.tep.uk.com)

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results


Drawing Number G10408.022.13				Page 13 of 14
Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:2,500 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025



KEY

- Working area/survey area
- Tree Assessment for Bat Roost Potential
 - PRF-I: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for individual/very small numbers of bats
 - PRF-M: Tree with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats
 - PRF-M: Tree group with potential roost feature suitable for multiple bats

Note:
The locations of habitats and habitat features are indicative.



Rev	Description	Drawn	Approved	Date



THE ENVIRONMENT PARTNERSHIP
401 Faraday Street, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6GA
Tel 01925 844004 e-mail tep@tep.uk.com www.tep.uk.com

Project
Oldbury to Meriden Pipeline, Severn Trent Water

Title
Ground Level Tree Assessment Results

Drawing Number G10408.022.14				Page 14 of 14
Drawn ET	Checked CW	Approved DM	Scale 1:5,000 @ A3	Date 04/02/2025

Appendix A: Methodologies

- 5.7 All bat surveys were undertaken in accordance with the Bat Conservation Trust (BCT) Good Practice Guidelines (Collins, 2023)¹². Survey design is determined by scope of proposals, ecological features present and landscape context. Detailed data collation, field survey and data analysis and evaluation methodologies are provided below.

Ecological Desk Study

- 5.8 Information regarding historic bat species records, protected habitats and protected sites is collated as part of a desk study. Desk Study data is provided by the Warwickshire Biological Records Centre including species records of the past decade, within a 1km minimum radius of a site/survey area. Designated sites within 2 to 10km are also reviewed. The preliminary Zones Of Influence (pZOI) within which ecological features are searched for as part of a desk study are varied according to the geo-spatial and/or legal significance of the ecological features identified. The scope and the pZOIs applied during a desk study, are searched from survey area boundary.

Roost Surveys

Preliminary Roost Assessment - Trees

- 5.9 Ground Level Tree Assessments (GLTA) are used to assess the available roosting resource and the need for further survey and/or mitigation. GLTA includes a detailed daytime inspection of the exterior of trees from the ground to look for potential roost features (PRFs) suitable for bats to use and any evidence of bat roosting. The only conclusive sign of a bat roost is actual bats or their droppings. Where droppings are found and it is possible to collect them, these are sent for laboratory analysis. The absence of droppings does not mean that bats are absent from a PRF.
- 5.10 GLTAs are carried out systematically, with surveyors viewing around all parts of the tree (from all angles and from both close to the trunk and further away), aided by use of close focusing binoculars and a high-powered torch where required. Observations are recorded in a standard format including details of:
- Tree species, height and diameter at breast height.
 - Tree age and health.
 - PRF type.
 - PRF location on the tree, height and orientation.

¹² Collins, J. (ed.) (2023) Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists Good Practice Guidelines (4th edition). The Bat Conservation Trust, London. ISBN-978-1-7395126-0-6

- Bat roost habitat suitability of the PRF.
- 5.11 Additional factors assessed during a GLTA include the availability of tree roost habitat and habitats within the surrounding landscape value. Following a GLTA, trees are categorised with reference to Table 6.1 of the BCT 2023 Guidelines as determined by their characteristics and associated PRFs. PRFs are not inspected in any detail during GLTA and therefore categorisation is only an estimate of their potential for supporting roosting bats.

Table A1: Guidelines for assessing the potential roost habitat suitability of trees for bats in accordance with Table 6.1 of the BCT 2023 Guidelines.

Potential Roost Habitat Suitability	Description
None	No PRFs likely to be used by roosting bats or highly unlikely to be any.
PRF-I	PRF is only suitable for individual bats or very small numbers of bats either due to size or lack of suitable surrounding habitats. Potential for use by individual bats opportunistically at any time of the year. These PRFs do not provide enough space, shelter, protection, appropriate conditions (e.g., temperature, humidity, height above ground level, light levels etc.) and/ or suitable surrounding habitat to be used on a regular basis or by larger numbers of bats (i.e., unlikely to be suitable for maternity roosts). Categorisation is irrespective of species conservation status.
PRF-M	PRF is suitable for multiple bats and may therefore be used by a maternity colony. Tree may also include numerous PRFs that could be used by larger numbers of bats due to their size, shelter, protection, conditions (e.g., temperature, humidity, height above ground level, light levels etc.) and surrounding habitat. Categorisation is irrespective of species conservation status.

- 5.12 Where there are fewer or larger numbers of trees with features categorised as PRF-I present, there may be requirement for further surveys due to the increased likelihood of a roost being present.
- 5.13 All trees surveyed during a GLTA are numbered, marked on a map or plan and geo-referenced.
- 5.14 GLTA are best undertaken in clear, dry weather with good light conditions to prevent PRFs being missed. Bright sunshine can make it difficult to see PRFs in a tree due to glare, poor light conditions can cause PRFs to be missed, wind can blow away external signs e.g., droppings and rain can wash away droppings and make staining around roost entrances difficult to distinguish.
- 5.15 Optimal conditions for identifying tree PRFs are when trees are not in leaf. The recommended survey window for GLTA is between December and March (inclusive).

Appendix B: GLTA Survey Results

Detailed results of the GLTA are provided in the table below. Trees have either been assessed as individual trees (e.g. Bat Tree 1 (BT1)) or as a group (e.g. Bat Group 1 (BG1)). These references correspond with drawing G10408.022 Ground Level Tree Assessment Results. Where possible, the corresponding arboricultural reference from TEP's arboricultural surveys has also been provided (TEP Ref: D10408.01.001 to D10408.01.035 Tree Constraints Plan (Rev B, February 2025)).

Information regarding further survey requirements is also provided in the table below. For PRF-M trees, three survey visits will be required across the bat active season to assess whether roosting bats are present. For PRF-I trees, the further survey requirements recommended will simply be required immediately prior to removal, prior survey visits will not be necessary.

Table A2: GLTA findings and photographic record and potential roost habitat suitability categorisation of trees based on their location, characteristics and PRFs.

BT1	Tree Description:	Sycamore <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> PRF 1: Multiple knot-holes located on a stem orientated all aspects. The holes were located around the tree and assessed as one PRF. The holes were at a height of 3-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.
-----	-------------------	---


	Photos:					
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I
	Arb Reference					T9
	BT2	Tree Description:	Dead tree PRF 1: Lifting bark located on entire stem. The lifting bark faced all orientations and was at a height of 0-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Unstable dead/diseased wood throughout. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection from ground level. One surveyor.			

	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
	Arb Reference			T8
	BT3	Tree Description:	Field maple <i>Acer campestre</i> PRF 1: Trunk cavity located on a stem orientated north. The cavity faced north and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. Two surveyors. PRF 2: Multiple butt-rot features present as PRF-I but were too numerous to record all features.	

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT4	Tree Description:	Ash <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> PRF 1: Wound located on a stem orientated east. The wound faced west and was at a height of 1-3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys – dusk emergence. PRF 2: Wound located on a major limb orientated east. The wound faced east and was at a height of 5-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys – dusk emergence. PRF 3: Cracked limb located on a stem orientated east. The cracked limb faced north and was at a height of 7m.			

		There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys – dusk emergence. PRF 4: Knot-hole located on a stem orientated east. The hole faced south and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys – dusk emergence.
	Photos:	  PRF 1  PRF 2  PRF 3

					
		PRF 4			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T25
BT5	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak <i>Quercus robur</i> H&S Considerations: None. PRF 1: knot-hole located on a stem orientated south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a major limb orientated south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 3-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders/ropes.			

		PRF 3: Deadwood located on a minor limb orientated in all directions. The cracked limb faced all aspects and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.		
	Photos:		 PRF 1	 PRF 2
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				T24
BT6	Tree Description:	Hawthorn <i>Crataegus monogyna</i> PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on a stem orientated all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-12m. The ivy creates a PRF and could potentially be hiding other PRFs. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Steep ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – Ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G22
	BT7	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Hazard-beam located on a minor limb orientated east. The hazard-beam faced south and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – Ropes.		

	Photos:		
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability		PRF-I
	Arb Reference		T56
	BT8	Tree Description:	Sycamore PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a stem orientated north-east. The hole faced north-east and was at a height of 4-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell rather than close inspection survey. Difficult to climb and there are no anchor points.

		PRF 2: Split stem orientated north-west. The split stem faced north-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell.		
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				G29
BT9	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Lifting-bark located on a major limb orientated south-east. The bark faced south-east and was at a height of 6-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – MEWP/scaffold; PRK inspection survey – ropes		

		Notes: x2 split limbs/lifting bark on south-west elevation both PRF-I		
	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			
	Arb Reference			
BT10	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on a stem orientated all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-7m. The ivy creates a PRF and could potentially be hiding other PRFs. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – MEWP/scaffold		
		PRF-I		
		G25		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G25
	BT11	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on a stem orientated all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-15m. The ivy creates a PRF and could potentially be hiding other PRFs. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT12	Tree Description:	Holly <i>Ilex aquifolium</i> PRF 1: Lifting-bark located on a stem orientated north-east. The bark faced north-east and was at a height of 1.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection from ground.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G25
	BT13	Tree Description:	Beech species <i>Fagus sp.</i> PRF 1: Canker located on a stem orientated south-west. The canker faced south-west and was at a height of 6-7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT14	Tree Description:	Beech species PRF 1: Trunk cavity located on a stem orientated south-west. The cavity faced south-west and was at a height of 1-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				G26
	BT15	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Lifting-bark located on a stem orientated north. The bark faced east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: knot-hole located on a stem orientated east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
Arb Reference					G25
BT16	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a stem orientated north-east. The hole faced north-east and was at a height of 2.5. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT17	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: knot-hole located on a stem orientated east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes/ladders			

	Photos:					
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
	Arb Reference					T68
	BT18	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Splits/crevices in branch located on a major limb orientated north. The splits/crevices faced north and were at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Split in branch located on a major limb orientated south. The split faced south and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: None.			

		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G30
BT19	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Woodpecker-hole located on a minor limb orientated south-west. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

		PRF 2: Crack along branch located on a minor limb orientated south-west. The crack faced south-west and was at a height of 7.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	Photos:			
			PRF 1	PRF 2
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-M
Arb Reference			T71	
BT20	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated south-west. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None.		

		Further Survey Requirements: Unlikely to be able to inspect using a ladder or ropes due to being located at the end of a small branch. Soft fell under supervision.			
	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I
Arb Reference					T76
BT21	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a stem orientated south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Various other small PRFs around the tree in the form of cracks, small holes and splits located on a stem orientated south. The PRFs faced all aspects and were at a height of 3-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use.			

	Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:		 PRF 1	 PRF 2
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				T80
BT22	Tree Description:	Alder <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a stem orientated north-west. The hole faced north-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

		PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a major limb orientated north-west. The hole faced north-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.			
	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T271
BT23	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a minor limb orientated south. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water.			

	Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Hole in dead branch located on a minor limb orientated south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Various cracks and tears located on a major limb orientated all aspects. The cracks and tears faced all aspects and were at a height of 1-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Notes: If PRF inspection by ropes is not possible because of water, nocturnal emergence surveys will be required instead (2 NVAs and 2 surveyors).
--	--

	Photos:					
				PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
	Arb Reference					T85
BT24	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a stem orientated north-east. The hole faced north-east and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a major limb orientated north-east. The hole faced north-east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M				

	H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.	
	Photos:	  
		PRF 1 PRF 2
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability		PRF-M
Arb Reference		T325
BT25	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Several holes and a cavity located along the same major limb orientated north-east. The holes faced north-east and were at a height of 3-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders/ropes

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T324
	BT26	Tree Description:	Dead tree PRF 1: Numerous holes, cracks and splits located on all aspects of the stem which could lead to cavities. The PRFs faced all aspects and were at a height of 2-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Unstable dead/diseased wood throughout. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys – dusk emergence.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T323
	BT27	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) located along a minor limb orientated all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and were at a height of 0-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: Likely not possible to climb due to the proximity to the road. Soft fell under supervision.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				T89
	BT28	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Various split branches along a minor limb orientated all aspects. The split branches faced all aspects and were at a height of 2-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: Likely not possible to climb due to the proximity to the road. Suggested soft felling under supervision.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				T92

BT29	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a stem orientated east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on the stem orientated south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Notes: Likely not possible to climb due to the proximity to the road. If climbing is not possible, then further surveys will be nocturnal surveys (2 surveyors, 3 NVAs).
------	----------------------	--

	Photos:				
		PRF 1	PRF 2		
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T94
BT30	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Hole located on a minor limb orientated north. The hole faced north and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Notes: Likely not possible to climb due to the proximity to the road. If climbing is not possible, then soft felling under supervision.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				T98
	BT31	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Wound located on a major limb orientated west. The wound faced south-west and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated west. The hole faced north and was at a height of 3.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

		Notes: If ropes are not possible due to the barbed wire, nocturnal surveys will be required.		
	Photos:			
			PRF 1	PRF 2
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-M
	Arb Reference			T326
BT32	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Lifting-bark located on a dead minor limb orientated west. The bark faced west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders/ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T327
	BT33	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Lifting-bark located on a stem orientated north-west. The bark faced north-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Lifting-bark located on a minor limb orientated east. The bark faced east and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

		Note: Likely not possible to climb due to the proximity to the road. If climbing is not possible, then nocturnal surveys will be required.			
	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T105
BT34	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Wound located on a stem orientated south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT35	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) located on a stem orientated all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT36	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Lifting-bark located on a minor limb orientated east. The bark faced east and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection – ropes. Note: Likely not possible to climb due to the proximity to the road. If climbing is not possible, soft fell under supervision.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT37	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on a stem orientated all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF but may also obscure other PRFs. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.			

	Photos:					
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I
	Arb Reference					T121
	BT38	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Wound located on a major limb orientated west. The wound faced west and was at a height of 3-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision. PRF 2: Split in branch located on a major limb orientated east. The split faced east and was at a height of 4-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.			

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				T129	
BT39	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a stem orientated south-east. The knot-hole faced south-east and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey - ropes Note: Due to the proximity to the road, ropes may not be suitable. If not, nocturnal surveys will be required. PRF 2: Butt-rot located on the stem orientated south-west. The butt-rot faced south-west and was at a height of 0m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use.			

BT40	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on a stem orientated all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF but may also obscure other PRFs. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.			
	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I
Arb Reference					T134
BT41	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Lifting-bark located on a minor limb orientated west. The bark faced west and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road.			

		Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.			
	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I
Arb Reference					T139
BT42	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a stem orientated west. The hole faced west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Branch cavity located on a major limb orientated south-west. The cavity faced south-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M			

	H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Lifting-bark located on a major limb orientated south-west. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.	
	Photos:	   
		PRF 1 PRF 2 PRF 3
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability	
	Arb Reference	
BT43	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak

	PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated west. The knot-hole faced west and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Branch cavity located on a major limb orientated south. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Hollow dead branch.		
	Photos:	  	
		PRF 1	PRF 2
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			
Arb Reference			PRF-M G114

BT44	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak			
		PRF 1: Branch cavity located on the stem orientated north. The cavity faced north and was at a height of 0.5-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on the stem orientated west. The hole faced west and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders/ropes.			
	Photos:		 PRF 1	 PRF 1	 PRF 2
		Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-M


Arb Reference		T156
BT45	Tree Description: Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on the stem orientated south-west. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Shearing-crack located on a major limb orientated south. The crack faced south and was at a height of 2-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes PRF 3: Lifting-bark located on a minor limb orientated south. The bark faced south and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes PRF 4: Shearing-crack located on a minor limb orientated south-west. The crack faced south-west and was at a height of 3-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes	

	Photos:				
		PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3	
					
		PRF 4			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M

Arb Reference			T164
BT46	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Trunk cavity located on the stem orientated west. The cavity faced west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes	
	Photos:		
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-M
Arb Reference			G112
BT47	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated west. The hole faced west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding.	

		Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under bat-licenced supervision.		
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				G112
BT48	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Pruning-cut located on a major limb orientated south. The cut faced south and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Shearing-crack located on a major limb orientated east. The crack faced east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding.		

		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T176
BT49	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on a stem orientated all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF but may also obscure other PRFs. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Lifting-bark located on a major limb orientated south. The bark faced south and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use.			

	Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Branch cavity located on a minor limb orientated south. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.	
	Photos:	   
		PRF 1 PRF 2 PRF 3
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability	
	Arb Reference	
BT50	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak

		PRF 1: Desiccation-fissure located on the stem orientated east. The fissure faced east and was at a height of 0-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.		
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
Arb Reference				PRF-M
BT51				T58
	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on a stem orientated all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF but may also obscure other PRFs. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G23
BT52	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Lifting-bark located on a major limb orientated west. The bark faced west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Shearing-crack located on a minor limb orientated north. The crack faced north and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding.			

		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	Photos:			
			PRF 1	PRF 2
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
Arb Reference			T196	
BT53	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a minor limb orientated north. The cavity faced north and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T198
	BT54	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on a stem orientated all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF but may also obscure other PRFs. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				T199
	BT55	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Knot-hole located on the stem orientated east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Branch cavity located on a major limb orientated south. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	PRF 3: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated east. The hole faced north and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders/ropes. PRF 4: Knot-hole located on a major limb orientated south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 5: Knot-hole located on a major limb orientated south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 6: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on the stem orientated all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF but may also obscure other PRFs. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders/ropes.
--	--


Photos:



PRF 1



PRF 2



PRF 3



PRF 4



PRF 5



PRF 6


Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-M
Arb Reference			T200
BT56	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on the stem orientated south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.	
	Photos:		
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
Arb Reference			T48
BT57	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Pruning-cut located on the stem orientated east. The cut faced east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding.	

		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes			
	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I
Arb Reference					T49
BT58	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Fluting located on a major limb orientated east. The fluting faced east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and uneven ground from the sloped banks of the ditch. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Subsidence-crack located on a minor limb orientated west. The crack faced all aspects and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I			

		H&S Considerations: Near a ditch with sloped banks. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.		
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				T43
BT59	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Subsidence-crack located on a major limb orientated south-west. The crack faced south-west and was at a height of 3-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and uneven ground from the sloped banks of the ditch. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Subsidence-crack located on a major limb orientated south. The crack faced south-west and was at a height of 4-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I		

	H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and near a ditch with sloped banks. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Pruning-cut located on a major limb orientated west. The cut faced north-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders/ropes.			
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			
Arb Reference				PRF-I
BT60				T44
	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Dead, broken branch located on the stem orientated south-east. The branch faced south-east and was at a height of 3.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to water.		

		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on the stem orientated south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			
Arb Reference				PRF-I
BT61	Tree Description:	Oak sp. PRF 1: Knot-hole located on the stem orientated north-west. The hole faced north-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I		
				T45

	H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Branch cavity located on a minor limb orientated north-east. The cavity faced north-east and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: PRF damage/disturbance likely. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Subsidence-crack located on a major limb orientated south-east. The crack faced south-east and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes/ladders.			
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I

Arb Reference				N/A
BT62	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on the stem orientated east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Dead ivy could be hiding PRFs.		
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				T31
BT63	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) located on the stem orientated all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use.		

		H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and near the sloped banks of a ditch. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.		
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				T30
BT64	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: A number of holes at the base of the tree located on the stem orientated east. The holes faced east and were at a height of 0m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: Can survey from the ground. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on the stem orientated east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

		PRF 3: Branch cavity located on a minor limb orientated north-east. The cavity faced north-east and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 4: Lifting-bark located on a minor limb orientated south-east. The bark faced south-east and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:		 PRF 1	 PRF 2	 PRF 3

					
		PRF 4			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T29
BT65	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on the stem orientated north-east. The hole faced north-east and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated north. The hole faced north and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

		Note: Due to proximity of road, rope inspection may not be possible, if not, nocturnal surveys will be required.			
	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
Arb Reference					
BT66	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak			PRF-M
		PRF 1: Dead splitting branch located on a major limb orientated south-west. The branch faced south-west and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water and impenetrable vegetation. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.			T357

		PRF 2: Butt-rot located on the stem orientated north-west. The rot faced north-west and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.		
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
Arb Reference				PRF-I
BT67				T1
	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on the stem orientated all aspects. The cavity faced all aspects and was at a height of 3-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
	Arb Reference			T355
BT68	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a major limb orientated west. The cavity faced west and was at a height of 1.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Hole located on a major limb orientated north-east. The hole faced north-east and was at a height of 2-3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.		

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT69	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Trunk cavity located on the stem orientated south-east. The cavity faced south-east and was at a height of 1-2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.			
		PRF-I			
		T12			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T14
	BT70	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) located on the stem orientated all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Lifting-bark located on a major limb orientated south-west. The bark faced south-west and was at a height of 3-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
		PRF 1	PRF 2		
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I
Arb Reference					T354
BT71	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a minor limb orientated west. The cavity faced west and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a stem orientated west. The hole faced west and was at a height of 2-3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.			

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				T17
BT72	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Desiccation-fissure located on a minor limb orientated north-west. The fissure faced north-west and was at a height of 2-3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.			

	Photos:					
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I
	Arb Reference					T19
	BT73	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) located on the stem orientated all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Branch cavity located on a major limb orientated west. The cavity faced west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				T20
BT74	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Unknown feature type located on a major limb orientated north. The cavity faced north and was at a height of 3-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:					
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I
	Arb Reference					T22
	BT75	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a major limb orientated north-west. The cavity faced north-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:					

Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				T23
BT76	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Butt-rot located on the stem orientated west. The rot faced west and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) located on the stem orientated all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	Photos:	 PRF 1		
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I

Arb Reference			T26
BT77	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a minor limb orientated south. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.	
	Photos:	 	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-M
Arb Reference			T341
BT78	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a major limb orientated south. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road.	

	Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a major limb orientated south-west. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Dead snapped branch located on a minor limb orientated north-east. The branch faced north-east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Due to the proximity to the road, a rope inspection may not be possible. If not, nocturnal surveys will be required.
--	--

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT79	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a major limb orientated north-east. The cavity faced north-east and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Due to the proximity to the road, a rope inspection may not be possible. If not, nocturnal surveys will be required.			
					PRF-M
					T340

	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-M
	Arb Reference			T339
	BT80	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Due to the proximity to the road, a rope inspection may not be possible. If not, nocturnal surveys will be required.	

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				T338
	BT81	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a minor limb orientated south. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Due to the proximity to the road, a rope inspection may not be possible. If not, nocturnal surveys will be required.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T90
	BT82	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on the stem orientated all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF but may also obscure other PRFs. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				T91
	BT83	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated south-east. The knot-hole faced south-east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated south-east. The knot-hole faced south-east and was at a height of 8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

		Note: Due to the proximity to the road, a rope inspection may not be possible. If not, nocturnal surveys will be required.		
	Photos:			
			PRF 1	PRF 2
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				T93
BT84	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated north-east. The knot-hole faced north-east and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

		PRF 2: Woodpecker-hole located on the stem orientated north. The hole faced north and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
Arb Reference				PRF-M
				T342
BT85	Tree Description:	Oak <i>Quercus</i> sp. PRF 1: Desiccation-fissure located on a minor limb orientated south. The fissure faced south and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Excessively boggy ground and overhanging barbed wire fence.		

		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) located on the stem orientated all aspects. The hole faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Excessively boggy ground and overhanging barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:		 PRF 1	 PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				T344	
BT86	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated south-east. The hole faced south-east and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: None.			

	Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	PRF 2: Branch cavity located on the stem orientated south-west. The cavity faced south-west and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use.		
	Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I		
	H&S Considerations: None.		
	Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	Photos:	  	
		PRF 1	PRF 2
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
Arb Reference			T345
BT87	Tree Description:	Sessile oak	


		PRF 1: Desiccation-fissure located on a major limb orientated south. The fissure faced east and was at a height of 3.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and steep ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				T95
BT88	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) located on the stem orientated all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Overhanging metal/spiked rails and excessively boggy ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		


	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT89	Tree Description:	Sessile oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on the stem orientated west. The cavity faced west and was at a height of 1m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Overhanging metal/spiked rails and excessively boggy ground. Further Survey Requirements: Survey from ground level as feature is at head height.			
	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I

Arb Reference		T347
BT90	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Trunk cavity located on the stem orientated north-west. The cavity faced north-west and was at a height of 0m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys – dusk emergence.
		PRF 2: Branch cavity located on a minor limb orientated south-east. The cavity faced south-east and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
Arb Reference					T348
BT91	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a major limb orientated south-west. The cavity faced south-west and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT92	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Trunk cavity located on the stem orientated east. The cavity faced east and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT93	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a minor limb oriented north. The hole faced north and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Branch cavity located on a major limb orientated south-east. The cavity faced south-east and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water.			

		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
		PRF 3: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated south-east. The hole faced south-east and was at a height of 7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use.			
		Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M			
		H&S Considerations: Proximity to water.			
		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:				
		PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T100
BT94	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak			

	PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) located on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Overhanging metal/spiked rails, steep ground and excessively boggy ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Desiccation-fissure located on a minor limb orientated west. The fissure faced west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Overhanging metal/spiked rails and steep ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.	
	Photos:	 PRF 1  PRF 2 
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability	
Arb Reference		PRF-M
BT95		T104
	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak

		PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a major limb oriented east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T111
BT96	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) located on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and steep ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				T114
	BT97	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Lifting-bark located on the stem oriented north-west. The bark faced north-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Steep ground and proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Branch cavity located on a minor limb oriented south-east. The cavity faced south-east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Steep ground and proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T120
BT98	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Trunk cavity located on the stem oriented north-east. The cavity faced north-east and was at a height of 0.5-2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a minor limb oriented south-west. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Steep ground and proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T123
BT99	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a minor limb oriented north-west. The cavity faced north-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Steep ground, proximity to water and overhanging metal/spiked rails. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			


	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT100	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a major limb oriented south-east. The cavity faced south-east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Steep ground and proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Lifting-bark located on a major limb oriented south-east. The bark faced south-east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Steep ground and proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T119
BT101	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a major limb oriented south-west. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT102	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a minor limb oriented south-east. The cavity faced south-east and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Branch cavity located on a minor limb oriented south-east. The cavity faced south-east and was at a height of 3.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use.			

	Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Branch cavity located on a minor limb oriented east. The cavity faced east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.	
	Photos:	
		
		
		
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability		PRF-M
Arb Reference		T113
BT103	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak

		PRF 1: Large broken limb with multiple entry holes into the branch located on a minor limb oriented north-west. The cavity faced north-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and steep ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T109
BT104	Tree Description:	Sycamore PRF 1: Trunk cavity located on the stem oriented east. The cavity faced east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and footpaths, PRF damage/disturbance likely and overhanging metal/spiked rails. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys – dusk emergence.			

		PRF 2: Knot-hole located on the stem oriented north-west. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to water, PRF damage/disturbance likely and overhanging metal/spiked rails. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys – dusk emergence.		
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
Arb Reference				PRF-M
				G36
BT105	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) located on the stem oriented north. The ivy faced north and was at a height of 1-3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and steep ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G36
	BT106	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a major limb oriented all aspects. The cavity faced all directions and was at a height of 1m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: The branch with the feature has snapped off. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a major limb oriented north. The hole faced north and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	PRF 3: Knot-hole located on a major limb oriented west. The hole faced west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 4: Branch cavity located on a major limb oriented north-west. The cavity faced north-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 5: Knot-hole located on a minor limb oriented north. The hole faced north and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.
--	---


Photos:



PRF 1



PRF 2



PRF 3



PRF 4





PRF 5

Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability


PRF-M

Arb Reference			T140
BT107	Tree Description:	Field maple PRF 1: Cavity located on the stem oriented north-west. The cavity faced north-west and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Impenetrable vegetation, overhanging metal/ spiked rails, proximity to water and excessively boggy ground. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence.	
	Photos:	 	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-M
Arb Reference			T142
BT108	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Trunk cavity located on the stem oriented north-east. The cavity faced north-east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and excessively boggy ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.	

		PRF 2: Trunk cavity located on the stem oriented north-east. The cavity faced north-east and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water, excessively boggy ground, overhanging metal/spiked rails and PRF damage/disturbance likely. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	Photos:		 PRF 1	 PRF 2
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				T138
BT109	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on the stem oriented north. The cavity faced north and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

		PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a minor limb oriented south-west. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				T143
BT110	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF. The ivy faced all directions and was at a height of 1-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None.		

		Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.			
Photos:					
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I
Arb Reference					T159
BT111	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on the stem oriented north-west. The hole faced north-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T162
	BT112	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole located on the stem oriented north. The hole faced north-east and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence PRF 2: Woodpecker-hole located on the stem oriented north. The hole faced north and was at a height of 8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		


	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T161
BT113	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF. The ivy faced all directions and was at a height of 0-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under bat-licenced supervision			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT114	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Branch cavity located on a major limb oriented south. The cavity faced north-west and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and ditch. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a major limb oriented north-west. The hole faced west and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and ditch. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence.			


		PRF 3: Trunk cavity located on the stem oriented all aspects. The cavity faced all aspects and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and ditch. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence.		
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			
	Arb Reference			
BT115	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Lifted bark around a broken limb, and small hole in the end of a major limb oriented north-west. The PRFs faced north-west and were at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water.		

	Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.			
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				T157
BT116	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented all aspects. The cavity faced north-west and was at a height of 3-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence.		

	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T155
BT117	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Split on a minor limb oriented south. The limb faced south and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.			

		PRF 3: Knot-hole on the stem oriented west. The hole faced west and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			
	Arb Reference			
BT118	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Wound on the stem oriented south. The wound faced south and was at a height of 3-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and PRF damage/disturbance likely.		

	Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Wound on the stem oriented south. The wound faced south and was at a height of 5-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Knot-hole on a major limb oriented north-east. The hole faced south and was at a height of 6.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 4: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented north-west. The cavity faced north-west and was at a height of 3-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.
--	--


	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3
					
	PRF 4	PRF 4			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
					PRF-M

Arb Reference		N/A
BT119	Tree Description: Ash PRF 1: Wound on the stem oriented south-east. The wound faced south-east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented north-west. The hole faced north-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Woodpecker-holes on the stem oriented north. The holes faced north-west and were at a height of 7-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Other features may be present on the tree but due to high numbers, not all were recorded.	

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT120	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented south. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence. PRF 2: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I			
		PRF-M			
		T173			

	H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence.			
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				T304
BT121	Tree Description:	Sycamore PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road, uneven ground surrounding and barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under bat-licenced supervision		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT122	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Branch cavity on a major limb oriented north-east. The cavity faced east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole located on a major limb oriented north-east. The hole faced north-west and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

		PRF 3: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented south-west. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 4: Tear-out located on the stem oriented south-east. The tear-out faced south-east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Due to the proximity of the road, ropes may not be possible. If not, presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence.
	Photos:	    PRF 3

		PRF 2		PRF 4
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				T169
BT123	Tree Description:	Sycamore PRF 1: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented west. The hole faced west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and livestock risk. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Various PRFs assessed as one including knot-holes and split branches located on a minor limb oriented all aspects. The PRFs faced all aspects and were at a height of 2-5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Livestock risk. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 2
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT124	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Trunk cavity with various openings on the stem oriented all aspects. The cavity faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Livestock risk. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Arb survey recorded an owl in this tree.			
					PRF-M
					T358

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T177
	BT125	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented south-west. The hole faced south and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Wound on a minor limb oriented east. The wound faced south-east and was at a height of 8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

		PRF 3: Lifting-bark on a minor limb oriented south. The bark faced west and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			
	Arb Reference			
BT126	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented all aspects. The hole faced all aspects and was at a height of 5-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire fence.		
		PRF-M		
		T181		

		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
		Note: The tree was on the outside/on the edge of working area so unlikely to be impacted. It was only surveyed from south-western side due to time constraints and the presence of cows on other side of tree. Numerous knot-holes were recorded, all appearing to be small and so were not assessed individually. The tree was assessed as PRF-M on a precautionary basis.			
	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T188
BT127	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented north-west. The hole faced north-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

		PRF 2: Dead top of stem making a possible trunk cavity on the stem oriented all aspects. The cavity faced all aspects and was at a height of 6-7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: none. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: PRF-I features also recorded, including bird boxes, but not assessed separately.		
	Photos:			
			PRF 1	PRF 2
				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				T201
BT128	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak		

	PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Impenetrable vegetation and proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under bat-licensed supervision PRF 2: Branch cavity on a minor limb oriented south. The cavity faced south-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Impenetrable vegetation and proximity to road and water. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under bat-licensed supervision			
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
Arb Reference				
				PRF-I
				G47

BT129	Tree Description:	Alder species PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under bat-licensed supervision			
	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I
Arb Reference					T205
BT130	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented north-west. The hole faced north-west and was at a height of 0.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None.			

		Further Survey Requirements: Endoscope from ground level. PRF 2: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented north-west. The cavity faced north-west and was at a height of 1m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: Endoscope from ground level.			
	Photos:				
		PRF 1	PRF 2		
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					G66
BT131	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented north. The hole faced north-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding.			

	Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				G33
BT132	Tree Description:	Unknown tree species. PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding, proximity to water and barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G68
	BT133	Tree Description:	Holly PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented west. The hole faced west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT134	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Several small knot-holes on the stem oriented all aspects. The holes faced all aspects and were at a height of 3-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under bat-licenced supervision.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT135	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented north. The hole faced north and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: May be possible to climb with ropes. Suggested soft fell under supervision.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				T231
	BT136	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Knot-hole on a major limb oriented south-west. The hole faced north-west and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole on a major limb oriented south-west. The hole faced south and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				T232	
BT137	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Several knot-holes on the stem oriented all aspects. The holes faced all aspects and were at a height of 1.5-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				G74
	BT138	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Wound on the stem oriented north. The wound faced north and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Various knot-holes and broken limbs on the stem oriented all aspects. The PRFs faced all aspects and were at a height of 2-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water.		

		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
Photos:					
		PRF 1		PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T241
BT139	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-9m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and uneven ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole on a major limb oriented north-west. The hole faced north-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use.			

	Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and uneven ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented west. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and uneven ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 4: Trunk cavity on a major limb oriented north-east. The cavity faced north-east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 5: Knot-hole on the stem oriented east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Due to proximity to water, ropes may not be possible. If not, presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence.
--	---

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3
					
		PRF 4	PRF 5		
		Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			
					PRF-M

Arb Reference		T240
BT140	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented west. The hole faced west and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding and impenetrable vegetation. Further Survey Requirements: Soft-fell under bat-licenced supervision PRF 2: Desiccation-fissure on a major limb oriented south-west. The fissure faced south-west and was at a height of 4.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: Soft-fell under bat-licenced supervision
	Photos:	 PRF 1

			PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				G85
BT141	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented south-west. The cavity faced south-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to farm track. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Knot-hole on the stem oriented south-east. The hole faced south-east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to farm track. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 3: Knot-hole on the stem oriented north-east. The hole faced north-east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to farm track. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 4: 2 knot-holes on the stem oriented north-west. The holes faced north-west and were at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to farm track.		

Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.				
	Photos:			
				

Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-M
Arb Reference			T252
BT142	Tree Description:	Alder PRF 1: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented south-east. The hole faced south-east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding and proximity to farm track. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.	
	Photos:	 	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
Arb Reference			T251
BT143	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Lifting-bark on the stem oriented all aspects. The bark faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M	

	H&S Considerations: Proximity to farm track and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole on the stem oriented all aspects. The hole faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to farm track and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Desiccation-fissure on the stem oriented south-west. The hole faced north-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to farm track, unstable dead/diseased wood throughout and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				T248

BT144	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Split major limb oriented south-east. The limb faced south-east and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence. PRF 2: Split minor limb oriented south. The hole faced south-east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence.		
	Photos:	 PRF 1	 PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
				PRF-M

Arb Reference				G84
BT145	Tree Description:	Oak sp. PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and farm track, steep ground, overhanging metal/spiked rails, and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Compression-fork on a major limb oriented east. The fork faced south-east and was at a height of 2-3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water, steep ground and overhanging metal/spiked rails. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.		
	Photos:	 PRF 1	 PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M

Arb Reference			G84
BT146	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-9m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.	
	Photos:	 	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-M
Arb Reference			T246
BT147	Tree Description:	Sycamore PRF 1: Desiccation-fissure on the stem oriented south. The fissure faced south and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and steep ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.	

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT148	Tree Description:	Cherry species PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-9m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
	Arb Reference			G79
	BT149	Tree Description: Sycamore PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G79
	BT150	Tree Description:	Cherry species PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-9m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G79
	BT151	Tree Description:	Cherry species PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-9m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G79
	BT152	Tree Description:	Beech species PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G77
	BT153	Tree Description:	Sycamore PRF 1: Branch cavity on a minor limb oriented south-east. The cavity faced south-east and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT154	Tree Description:	Sycamore PRF 1: Cracked branch a minor limb oriented north-west. The branch faced north-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence.			


	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT155	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				T236
	BT156	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented south-east. The hole faced south-east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Multiple knot-holes around the stem oriented north. The holes faced north and were at a height of 3-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Impenetrable vegetation and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T228
BT157	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity on a major limb oriented south-west. The cavity faced south-west and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road, uneven ground surrounding, proximity to overhead cables and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T218
	BT158	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Split in a major limb oriented south-east. The split faced south-east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road, proximity to overhead cables and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T221
BT159	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented south-east. The hole faced west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road, uneven ground surrounding, proximity to overhead cables and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Lifting-bark on a minor limb oriented south-east. The bark faced south-east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I			

		H&S Considerations: Proximity to road, uneven ground surrounding, proximity to overhead cables and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented south-west. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to road, uneven ground surrounding, proximity to overhead cables and barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.
Photos:		   
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability		PRF-M
Arb Reference		T215
BT160	Tree Description:	Unknown tree species

	PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:			
		PRF 1	PRF 2	
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				G53

BT161	Tree Description:	Elder PRF 1: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented all aspects. The cavity faced all aspects and was the length of the tree. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Barbed wire fence. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.			
	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T253
BT162	Tree Description:	Unknown tree species PRF 1: Branch cavity on a minor limb oriented south-west. The cavity faced south-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Uneven ground and proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Unsure whether it's safe to climb. May be able to section off PRF when soft felling and place elsewhere.			

	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
	Arb Reference			G88
	BT163	Tree Description: Unknown tree species PRF 1: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented south. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 0m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ground level. PRF 2: Branch cavity on a major limb oriented south. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
Arb Reference					G88
BT164	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity on a major limb oriented south. The cavity faced east and was at a height of 7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT165	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Branch cavity on a minor limb oriented east. The cavity faced east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

		Note: Due to the proximity of the road, rope inspections may not be possible. If not, nocturnal surveys required.		
	Photos:			
			PRF 1	PRF 2
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-M
	Arb Reference			T257
BT166	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Desiccation-fissure on a minor limb oriented south-east. The fissure faced south-east and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and steep ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT167	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Branch cavity on a minor limb oriented south. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T260
BT168	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Desiccation-fissure on a major limb oriented all aspects. The fissure faced all aspects and was the height of the tree. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road, steep ground and proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Multiple branches with fissures/dead features. There were no obvious cavities, but it was a large oak so likely to be suitable.			


	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT169	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Branch cavity on a minor limb oriented north-east. The cavity faced south-east and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT170	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented east. The cavity faced east and was at a height of 2-3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT171	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Wound on the stem oriented east. The wound faced east and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders. PRF 2: Lifting-bark on a minor limb oriented south-west. The bark faced south-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision as unsafe to climb.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT172	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented north-west. The cavity faced north-west and was at a height of 2-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF- M
	Arb Reference				T265
	BT173	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Butt-rot on the stem oriented south-east. The rot faced south-east and was at a height of 0m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ground level. PRF 2: Knot-hole on a major limb oriented south-east. The hole faced south-east and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

		PRF 3: Branch cavity on the stem oriented east. The cavity faced east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 4: Knot-hole on a major limb oriented west. The hole faced west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: There were a further knot-holes and cavities on the tree not recorded in detail.
	Photos:	    PRF 1 PRF 2 PRF 3

					
		PRF 4			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					T266
BT174	Tree Description:	Holly PRF 1: A few holes on the stem oriented west. The holes faced west and were at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT175	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented all aspects. The cavity faced east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Wound on the stem oriented all aspects. The wound faced south-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				T269
BT176	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Lifting-bark on the stem oriented all aspects. The bark faced north and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: There are other PRFs but doesn't look as if they lead to anywhere. Could potentially climb but the tree is near a dry ditch. If being removed, consider soft felling under supervision.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT177	Tree Description:	Hawthorn PRF 1: Butt-rot on the stem oriented west. The rot faced west and was at a height of 0m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ground level			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				T277
	BT178	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Hole at the bottom of the stem oriented all aspects. The hole faced west and was at a height of 0.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: Endoscope form ground level.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT179	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented all aspects. The cavity faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and steep ground. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				T278
BT180	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented east. The cavity faced east and was at a height of 2.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Branch cavity on a minor limb oriented south-east. The cavity faced south-east and was at a height of 3.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:					
			PRF 1	PRF 2		
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
	Arb Reference					T279
BT181	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Wound on the stem oriented north. The wound faced north and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Branch cavity on a minor limb oriented south. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None.				

		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 3: Knot-hole on the stem oriented east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Loose branch hanging in the tree. Could fall and cause harm.				
	Photos:					
		PRF 1	PRF 2	PRF 3		
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
	Arb Reference					G97
BT182	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak				

		PRF 1: Wound on the stem oriented all aspects. The wound faced south-west and was at a height of 1m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to footpaths. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – endoscope from the ground.		
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				G97
BT183	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Subsidence-crack on a minor limb oriented north. The crack faced north-west and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to footpaths. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT184	Tree Description:	Holly PRF 1: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented north. The hole faced north and was at a height of 1m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G97
	BT185	Tree Description:	Unknown tree species PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented south-west. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
	Arb Reference			G95
	BT186	Tree Description:	Hawthorn PRF 1: Knot-hole on a minor limb oriented south-west. The hole faced south-west and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to footpaths. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.	

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G95
	BT187	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 1m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ground-level.		

	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
	Arb Reference			G95
	BT188	Tree Description:	Unknown tree species PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented west. The hole faced west and was at a height of 0.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ground-level.	

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
	Arb Reference				G95
	BT189	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: No obvious features but of size and age to support features if they develop overtime. H&S Considerations: Proximity to footpaths. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT190	Tree Description:	Hawthorn PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to footpaths. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ladders.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT191	Tree Description:	Holly PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 1-3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to footpaths. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT192	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: There were no obvious features but the tree was of the size and age to support features if they develop over time. H&S Considerations: Proximity to footpaths. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT193	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding and unstable dead/diseased wood throughout. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence. PRF 2: Knot-hole on the stem oriented south. The hole faced south and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding and unstable dead/diseased wood throughout. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence.			

	Photos:				
		PRF 1	PRF 2		
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
Arb Reference					G100
BT194	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented east. The cavity faced east and was at a height of 1.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Steep ground.			

		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ground-level.			
	Photos:				
		PRF 1	PRF 2		
		Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			
Arb Reference				G100	
BT195	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Butt-rot on the stem oriented south. The rot faced south and was at a height of 0m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence. PRF 2: Dead limb (starting to form knot hole but dead splintered wood has associated cavities too) on the stem oriented south. The limb faced south and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use.			

	Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Unstable dead/diseased wood throughout. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. If the tree is unsafe to climb due to the dead/diseased wood, then nocturnal surveys will be required. PRF 3: A dead limb becoming an upwards facing knot-hole on the stem oriented east. The limb faced east and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Unstable dead/diseased wood throughout. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. If the tree is unsafe to climb due to the dead/diseased wood, then nocturnal surveys will be required. PRF 4: Tear-out on the stem oriented south. The tear-out faced south and was at a height of 10m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Unstable dead/diseased wood throughout. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. If the tree is unsafe to climb due to the dead/diseased wood, then nocturnal surveys will be required.
--	---

Photos:



PRF 1



PRF 2



PRF 3



			PRF 4	
				
PRF 5				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				T384
BT196	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented north-east. The hole faced north-east and was at a height of 7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT197	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Knot-hole on the stem oriented north. The hole faced north and was at a height of 3m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey - ropes. PRF 2: Knot-hole on the stem oriented east. The hole faced east and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			

		PRF 3: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented west. The cavity faced west and was at a height of 6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Unstable dead/diseased wood throughout. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.		
	Photos:			
			PRF 1	PRF 2
				PRF 3
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
Arb Reference				PRF-M
BT198				T385
	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 2m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road.		

	Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.			
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				G101
BT199	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) on the stem oriented all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.		

	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
	Arb Reference			G101
	BT200	Tree Description:	Elder PRF 1: Trunk cavity on the stem oriented all aspects. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 1m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ground-level.	

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT201	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Branch cavity located on the stem orientated north-east. The cavity faced east and was at a height of 3.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. PRF 2: Branch cavity located on the stem orientated south-west. The cavity faced south and was at a height of 3.5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: Barbed wire.			

		Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:				
			PRF 1	PRF 2	
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				G103
BT202	Tree Description:	Hawthorn PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on the stem orientated all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF but may also obscure other PRFs. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Impenetrable vegetation and proximity to water. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BT203	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak PRF 1: Ivy cover mature (>50mm diameter, lattice forming) located on the stem orientated all aspects. The ivy creates a PRF but may also obscure other PRFs. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-I H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.			

		PRF 2: Ivy cover (no PRF but may obscure other features) located on the stem orientated all aspects. The ivy faced all aspects and was at a height of 0-6m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. Potential Bat Roost Suitability: PRF-M H&S Considerations: None. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys - dusk emergence.			
	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
Arb Reference					PRF-M
					G103
BT204	Tree Description:	Pedunculate oak			

		PRF 1: Knot-hole located on a minor limb orientated east. The hole faced west and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Barbed wire. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Multiple small knot-holes were present.		
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				G103
BG1	Tree Description:	Various trees on/next to the boundary. Very unlikely to be impacted so a detailed survey was not done. If the trees will be impacted, a more detailed survey will be needed.		

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
	Arb Reference				G18, G19
	BG2	Tree Description:	Various species that were blanket assessed as PRF-I due to numerous mature and ivy clad trees. No obvious PRF-M trees, but the trees were of sufficient size and age to provide features. Further Survey Requirements: Soft fell under supervision.		

	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
	Arb Reference			G25
	BG3	Tree Description:	Various tree species in a woodland block adjacent to the working area. The trees are unlikely to be impacted and so weren't subject to a detailed survey. Trees with PRFs incidentally recorded and are assessed as PRF-M on a precautionary basis.	

	Photos:					
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-M
	Arb Reference					G24, G25, various trees
	BG4	Tree Description:	A group of four oak trees. A detailed survey was not done as they were outside of the working area but PRFs incidentally recorded. PRF-M features were present. The trees are unlikely to be affected but a detailed survey will be needed should they be impacted.			

	Photos:				
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
BG5	Tree Description:	A linear block of woodland with various species. The woodland was not surveyed in detail as it was outside of the working area. PRFs were incidentally recorded. There are likely numerous PRFs within the woodland. It is assumed that the woodland is being retained. The trees were bulk assessed as PRF-M on precautionary basis.			

	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-M
	Arb Reference			G34 and various others
	BG6	Tree Description:	Various tree species located on the boundary of the field and therefore unlikely to be impacted. A detailed survey was not done. PRF 1: Various potential PRFs on the stem oriented all aspects. The PRFs faced all aspects and were at a height of 0-10m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Livestock risk. Further Survey Requirements: A further detailed assessment will be needed if the trees will be impacted.	

	Photos:				
					
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				
	Arb Reference				
					PRF-I
					G125

BG7	Tree Description:	The trees were not surveyed in detail as they were directly on the boundary and unlikely to be impacted. The trees were of the sufficient size and age that could have features. A further detailed assessment will be needed if the trees will be impacted.		
	Photos:			
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-I
Arb Reference				G86
BG8	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: No obvious features but access is restricted due to the pond. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to water and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: Likely to be retained but if not, soft fell under supervision.		

	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
	Arb Reference			G53
	BG9	Tree Description:	Assessed as block of woodland as the trees lie just outside of the working area. Further detailed survey required if impacted. PRF 1: Lifting-bark located on the stem oriented all aspects. The bark faced all aspects. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Uneven ground surrounding and badger sett(s) present. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.	

	Photos:		
		Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability	PRF-I
		Arb Reference	W3, G50
BG10	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Subsidence-crack located on a minor limb oriented west. The crack faced south-west and was at a height of 5m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Steep ground. There was a dry pond however this may hold water at other times of the year. Further Survey Requirements: If pruning, soft fell under supervision.	

	Photos:			
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability			PRF-I
	Arb Reference			G146
	BG11	Tree Description:	Ash PRF 1: Subsidence-crack located on a stem oriented all aspects. The crack faced south-west and was at a height of 2-4m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to footpaths. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes. Note: Numerous trees were along the hedgerow on the field side with small PRF-Is grouped together as one. Ropes, endoscope from ground and ladder survey methods all possible.	

	Photos:		
	Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability		PRF-I
	Arb Reference		G95, G97
BG12 and BG13	Tree Description:	Ash – Two groups with multiple PRFs including knot-holes, canker and dead, hollow limbs. PRF 1: Canker located on a stem oriented all aspects. The canker faced all aspects and was at a height of 8m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for maternity/satellite/night/mating/swarming bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Unstable dead/diseased wood throughout. Further Survey Requirements: Presence/likely absence surveys – dusk emergence.	

	Photos:			
				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability				PRF-M
Arb Reference				G94, T284

BG14	Tree Description:	Sycamore PRF 1: A group of three trees with dense ivy cover and the potential to conceal PRFs. The ivy was on the stems and faced all aspects at a height of 1-7m. There was no evidence of bat use. There was potential for day/night/feeding/transitional occasional bat roost use. H&S Considerations: Proximity to road and uneven ground surrounding. Further Survey Requirements: PRF inspection survey – ropes.			
	Photos:				
Categorisation of Potential Bat Roost Habitat Suitability					PRF-I
Arb Reference					G77



WARRINGTON

401 Faraday Street
Birchwood Park
Warrington
WA3 6GA

T: 01925 844004
E: tep@tep.uk.com

MARKET HARBOROUGH

The Reynard Suite
Bowden Business Village
Market Harborough
Leicestershire
LE16 7SA

T: 01858 383120
E: mh@tep.uk.com

GATESHEAD

Office 26 Gateshead
International Business
Centre
Mulgrave Terrace
Gateshead
NE8 1AN

T: 0191 6053340
E: gateshead@tep.uk.com

LONDON

8 Trinity Street
London
SE1 1DB

T: 020 3096 6050
E: london@tep.uk.com

CORNWALL

Nr Falmouth
Cornwall

T: 01326 240081
E: cornwall@tep.uk.com